

Robert Latimer

September 22/2002

To Premier of Saskatchewan Lorne Calvert

You have not tried to understand my question. My question was, and still is what is the identity of the “**more effective medication**” the Supreme Court relied on to eliminate any meaningful participation of the jury in deciding my actions were criminal? I believe this “**more effective medication**” was generated, and is still promoted by the prosecutors working in the Saskatchewan Justice department. Because you are the overall leader of the Saskatchewan government, I believe you are in a position to identify this “**more effective medication**”.

On line 13 of page 85 of the booklet I sent you the prosecutors continue to press their beliefs that more powerful pain medication could be administered to Tracy with a feeding tube. And conclude once again on line 24 of page 87 through line 2 on page 88 that “moreover a feeding tube would decrease the risks associated with more powerful pain killers”.

These claims are not substantiated by Dr.Dzus’ testimony, as claimed by the prosecutors.

For the courts to stray from using substantiated facts to convict me, and allow a gang of judicial predators to prevail in denouncing my actions with fallacious arguments is wrong. If such “a more effective medication” exists, someone in your government would know what it is. Just as an absence of such a medication would also be known by someone in your government.

I do believe you can give me an answer to this one question I am asking. What is the identity of the “**more effective medication**”?