

Robert Latimer

December 4/2003

To the Supreme Court of Canada

Again I write for your attention

It is often the smaller insults to fundamental democratic principles such as your promotion of a fraudulent fabrication to eliminate a jury's meaningful participation in deciding if my actions were right or wrong that erodes our country's reputation as a model of rights of individuals.

Your January 18/2001 decision removed the authority from the jury, and bolstered the already notorious powers of a group of police and prosecutors that have clearly shown their ability to defile the entire judicial process. By implementing their questionnaire "confirming guilty verdicts" and fabricating fraudulent medical claims intended to bolster their charges against me. By ignoring this widely known situation, your group of seven judges continues to degrade the very judicial process you were entrusted to oversee.

There has to be some echo of an oath, some tinge of recollection of how you must have felt being installed to the Supreme Court of Canada, and how you knew at the time you could bring forward decisions based on the factual evidence before you. That strategy is not present in your January 18/2001 decision. There should be a desire to generate decisions based on the best factual information available to you.

Knowing that your decision is imprisoning me, and putting me under severe restrictions for the rest of my life should hopefully be enough to have you approach your decision with a clear mind.

My question is simple. What is the identity of the "more effective pain medication" cited 5 times in the Supreme Court's January 18/2001 decision?

I am including a copy of the letter I sent to Justice Minister Cauchon on November 4/2003.