

Robert Latimer
February 9/2004

To Federal Justice Minister Irwin Cotler

I am hoping that you can respond to my request. I have had a hard time getting an answer from your predecessors. I can't believe that not one person of authority can answer my frequently asked question.

What is the identity of the "**more effective pain medication**" so frequently relied on by the Supreme Court in their January 18/2001 decision?

To you now and to your predecessor Martin Cauchon I have written the following on November 4/2003:

I have included a copy of the Supreme Court's January 18/2001 decision, and I have numbered the lines of it, including the title.

The Court states "**more effective pain medication**" on line 73. [page 146]

The Court states "**better pain management was available**" on line 128. [page 148]

The Court states "**more effective pain medication**" on line 325. [page 152]

The Court states "**better pain management was available**" on line 652. [page 160]

The Court states "**more effective pain medication**" on line 661. [page 160]

It has now been 10 years since I was arrested, and the authorities started to work on us, and I am now writing you again to get an exact definition of this claim made in the judgement that I do not understand.

As I have tried to make your office aware of in the past, I do not understand what medication the Supreme Court is citing these 5 times in their decision against me. I understand how the Supreme Court could not very well adhere to their decision as an enforceable ruling if this medical claim were realized as fraudulent.

I also realize that it would be difficult for the Supreme Court to then issue a new set of reasons to eliminate a jury from considering the defence of necessity, if the best reasons that they had for eliminating the defence of necessity from my defence were now gone.

I have included a copy of my many attempts to get an answer to this and other questions.

I hope you are capable of answering my question. What is the identity of the "more effective pain medication" the Supreme Court cites 5 times in its January 18/2001 decision?