

August 29/2005

To the Supreme Court of Canada

You people are not being honest in your continued endorsement of the findings of your January 18/2001 decision.

It is hard to believe that after having been made aware of the fraudulent fabrications of the Saskatchewan Justice Department prosecutors this Court has endorsed, that this Court can continue to oversee the enforcement of the abuses that are done to us.

Again I am bringing to your attention the claim that there was “a more effective pain medication” we could have given our daughter, and again I am asking just what is the **identity** of this **“medication”**?

This claim of **“a more effective pain medication”** appears on lines 73, 325, and 661 of this Court’s January 18/2001 decision, and on pages 146, 152, and 160 of my material.

The claim **“better pain management was available”** appears on lines 128, and 652 of this Court’s January 18/2001 decision, and on pages 148, and 160 of my material.

The claim of **“a medically manageable physical or mental condition”** appears on lines 135, and 697 of this Court’s January 18/2001 decision, and on pages 148, and 161 of my material.

It is my hope, as faint as it might seem at this stage, that this Court is principled enough to allow me the accused person a clear understanding of the arguments, or legal instruments used against me.

It is clear that after you allowed so many to intervene allocating so much time for them to yap away in front of you promoting their self-righteous selves, while Chief Justice McLachlin urged the lawyer representing me to hurry along with his remarks, that this Court was prejudiced.

What I did was the right thing to do.

You people have a real problem trying to understand that, and you damage the open opportunity for others to gain an understanding of the situation we faced, with your continued endorsement of the fraudulent fabrications that distort the situation we actually faced.

It is very obvious that if left to you people I would be punished severely. But my future was supposed to have been decided by a jury. You people have continually overseen the corruption of the jury system.

Justice Binnie's calling the medication fabrication a "**side issue**" after this Court relied on the fraudulently fabricated medical claims so frequently on the lines of its January 18/2001 decision as shown above is a clear indication of just how careless this Court was to conclude that Tracy's condition was:

"It was thought she experienced a great deal of pain" line 318 page 152.

"Tracy enjoyed music, bonfires, being with her family and the circus." line 331 page 152.

After reading Dr. Dzus' testimony on line 548, page 131:

"Her breathing

became easier in that she wasn't as congested and she did not vomit as much so that quality of life improved but now instead of being a flexible person that can move side to side, forward and back, we have somebody who is literally very stiff from the top of her spine right down to the pelvis so she has lacked - - she now lacks that mobility so that takes away some of that quality of life, plus the fact that she has lost weight in the summer prior to her death and that **she was in severe pain** from what we believe was her hip I would say that her quality of life in the last year of life was deteriorating."

Or on line 674 of Dr. Dzus' testimony on page 136 of my material:

**"- Tracy had severe pain. To control it with drugs would mean using fairly powerful drugs. She already was on anticonvulsant, antiepileptic medications to control her seizures. Combining drugs can have side effects. One can add onto the other. She already in the past was having**

**difficulty with swallowing. We know that she had difficulty clearing some secretions from her lungs, nose and that and these children can gag on their own secretions. If you depress, by using strong drugs, some of these very primitive reflexes then you put her at risk for aspirating, getting the contents of stomach food into her lungs and ending up aspirating pneumonia, ending up very sick, depressing the respiratory function that, already**

- -“

This Court was very mistaken on the seriousness of Tracy’s condition, and was in fact eager to be led by the fraudulent fabrications of the Saskatchewan Justice Department prosecutors.

If this Court is so confident that it has discovered a **“more effective pain medication”** what could possibly be the reason for **not identifying** this medication?

It was this Court’s decision, along with previous Court decisions against us that led professors Krutzen and Lefcourt to write what appears on pages 52, and 80 of my material:

“Serving on a jury does not mean one has been granted ‘time out’ from playing the moral game. There are no ‘time outs’ in playing the moral game even when one is serving on a jury. If anything, members of a jury have a special moral duty to see to it that *justice* and not just ‘the law’ is served. In the Latimer case members of the jury did just the opposite. In following the judge’s orders not to let their emotions play a part in their deliberations and in agreeing to be guided solely by reason and the law, the members of the jury morally castrated and dehumanized themselves in the process.”

“Is it any wonder that perpetrators of torture often threaten to torment the children of their intended victim to have their way with him or her.”

It seems that because Tracy was disabled this Court feels that it has the right to demand that she undergo any and all medical treatment that comes along. It is clear that most Canadian’s would not want this Court deciding on what their future medical treatments will be.

It is no wonder that a jury system was created so long ago. People do not want to be stuck with a corrupt authority such as I ran into. My future should be decided by a jury, which is properly selected.

If this Court can find the courage to be honest about its erroneous medical claims, and has the integrity to correct itself, it will allow a jury to consider my future in a new trial.

If a new trial is ordered I would hope it will take place here on southern Vancouver Island, where I am now imprisoned. I really believe the Saskatchewan Justice Department prosecutors should not enjoy home Court advantage, and the opportunities to corrupt the judicial process as they have in the past with their **“confirming guilty verdicts”**.

This Court's continued failure to correct itself allows corruption, and deceit to rule.